Z	Scope Database	
Scope Database		ceo@scopedatabase.com info@scopedatabase.con
DATABASE	(Journal Indexing and Citation Analysis)	
INDEXED	Certificate of Article Indexed	lexed Date: 06-0ct-2021
	Manuscript ID :00000-88848 Source ID : 00000216	9
Authors Name: Sanjay M	Authors Name: Sanjay M Dalvi, Ravindra R Rakh	
Title of the Paper: In Vitro A	Title of the Paper: In Vitro Antagonism of Pseudomonas aeruginosa A198 Towards Sclerotium rolfsii, a Causative Agent of Stem Rot Discase of	a Causative Agent of Stem Rot Disease of
OTOMININ .		
Title of the Journal: Bioscience Discovery	science Discovery	÷
Volume, Issue, Year, Pag	Volume, Issue, Year, Page No.: 11,3, 2020, 151-157	
Scope Database Article L	Scope Database Article Link: https://scopedatabase.com/documents/00000216/00000-88848.pdf	548.pdf
Publisher Article Link: ht	Publisher Article Link: https://jbsd.in/Vol%2011No3/Sanjay151-157%20.pdf	
This is to certify that	This is to certify that the above-mentioned Article has been indexed in Scope Database based on the recommendation of	abase based on the recommendation of
Content Selection Committee (CSC) after	imittee (CSC) after reviewing the content submitted by the publisher.	iblisher.
N. &	THON'S	Arstany Tre.
Prof. Dr. Anbu Ranjith Kumar, B.E. MBA, Ph. (Chief Executive Officer)	D.	Dr. V. Antony Joe Raja B.E. MBA, Ph. D.
Door No: 188, Kapaleeswarar	Door No: 188, Kapaleeswarar Nagar, 1st Main Road, Neelankarai, Chennai-600115. Tamilnadu, India. Email: info@scopedatabase.com	1, India. Email: info@scopedatabase.com
second apply in the second second		210101010101010101010101010101010101010
Co-ordinator IOAC Shri Guru Buddhiswami Mahavidyalaya Purna Lini Dist. Parthiani - 431511 (M.S.)	A Contraction of the second se	Shri Guru Buddhiswami Mahavidyalaya Purna (Jn.) Dist.Parbhan







AUTHOR INSTRUCTIONS HOME SUBSCRIPTION EDITORIAL BOARD CITATION

ARCHIVE CONTACT US



About Us

Journal published since 2010 (11 Year Old Research Journal). The Main aim of this Journal is to provide platform to the students, researcher, young and senior scientists, those who are actively engaged in the life sciences. It is dedicated to the advancement of scientific knowledge and recent technologies for the management and improvement of all interdisciplinary fields of Life Sciences.

The Journal publishes Quarterly, i.e. in the month of January, April, July, and October. However, the editor reserves the right to publish the Journal as a whole or in parts depending upon the material and the finances available.

Areas Covered

JBSD promotes original research papers, reviews, short notes and any technical article on the following themes: Botany, Zoology, Microbiology, Biotechnology. Biochemistry, Soil Science, Environmental Science, Bioinformatics, Agriculture, Horticulture, Forestry, Medical Sciences, Fishery Sciences, Veterinary Sciences and related interdisciplinary areas will be considered for publication in Bioscience Discovery. Submission of a research paper in JBSD implies that has not previously been published and not been submitted for publication elsewhere. The published research papers will become the copyright of the publisher.

SITE NAVIGATION

Google Scholar Citation	
Indian Citation Index	
Proceeding of Conferences	
Volume 10, Number 1, Jan. 2	019
Volume 11, Number 4, Oct 20	20
Volume 12, Number 1, Jan. 20	021
Volume 12, Number 2, April :	2021



RINCIPAL

xed in / Citation	and the second se	THE CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT
Acc my catabon	Peer Review Policy	RUT Printer and Publisher
	Publication Policies	43, Kaushalyale,
and the second sec	Journal Ethics	Priyanka Residancy, Jalna
	Copyright Policy	PIN: 431203 (MS) India
act Pactor	Publication charges	Email: publisher@jbsd.in
ent Issue April 2022*	Statement Statement	
	aimer act Factor ent Issue April 2022	aimer Copyright Policy Act Factor Publication charges

Bioscience Discovery, 11(3):151-157, July - 2020

Open Access. Peer Reviewed, Referred Research Journal © RUT Printer and Publisher Print & Online available on https://jbsd.in ISSN: 2229-3469 (Print); ISSN: 2231-024X (Online) Research Article



In Vitro Antagonism of Pseudomonas aeruginosa Al98 Towards Sclerotium rolfsii, a Causative Agent of Stem Rot Disease of Groundnut

15

Sanjay M. Dalvi and Ravindra R. Rakh

Department of Botany, Shri Guru Buddhiswami Mahavidyalaya, Purna (Jn) - 431511 Department of Microbiology, Shri Guru Buddhiswami Mahavidyalaya, Purna(Jn) - 431511 sanjaydalvi4u@gmail.com

Article Info

Received: 22-5-2020 Revised: 24-06-2020 Accepted: 29-06-2020

Keywords: Groundnut, Sclerotium rolfsii, Rhizosphere, Pseudomonas aeruginosa AL98, Siderophore.

Abstract

Stem rot disease of groundnut caused by Sclerotium ralfsii is difficult to control by conventional means such as fungicides; therefore, the naturally available antagonist present is tested for control. In present study, 11 Pseudomonas strains isolated from rhizospheric soil were screened for their biocontrol activity against S. rolfsii under in vitro conditions. One of the Pseudomonas strain, identified as Pseudomonas aeruginosa AL98, was selected for further studies because of their ability to inhibit the mycelia growth of the pathogen significantly. In dual cultures, the Pseudomonas aeruginosa AL98 inhibited the Sclerotium rolfsii up to 94 % in terms of dry weight. Pseudomonas aeruginosa AL98 produced catecholate type of siderophore which affect Sclerotium rolfsii growth in vitro.

INTRODUCTION:

Rhizospheric bacteria serve as excellent agents to control soil-borne plant pathogens. Bacterial species like *Bacillus*, *Pseudomonas*, *Serratia* and *Arthrobacter* have been proved in controlling the fungal diseases. Bacteria identified as plant growth promoting rhizobacteria and biocontrol strains often belong to the following genera. (i) *Bacillus* (Nair et al., 2002, Shadi Ray et al., 2012 and Selseleh-zakeri et al., 2015), (ii) *Streptomyces* (Adb-Allah, 2001) and (iii) *Pseudomonas* (Mark et al., 2006; Dalvi and Rakh, 2017).

Pseudomonas spp received great attention as biocontrol agent because of their catabolic versatility, excellent root-colonizing abilities and production of broad range antifungal metabolites such as 2,4-diacetylphloroglucinoal (DAPG), pyoluteorin, pyrrolnitrin and phenazines (Chin-A-Woeng et al., 2001; Raaijmaker et al., 2002). The mechanisms through which Pseudomonas spp. control plant diseases involve (i) competition for niches and nutrients, (ii) antibiosis, (iii) predation, and (iv) induction of plant defense responses.

Sclerotium rolfsii Sacc is a soil-borne plant pathogen of worldwide importance with a very extensive host range including more than 500 plants species. Most S. rolfsii diseases have been reported on dicotyledonous hosts, but with several monocotyledonous species also being infected. Sclerotium rolfsii is especially severe on legumes, Solanaceous crops, cucurbits and other vegetables grown in rotation with beans (Tu, 1978; Wydra 1996). The traditional agricultural practice to control the phytopathogen is by using variety of Fungicides e.g. Bavistin, Captan etc. but a sever disadvantage of the traditional method, that it is not effective to check the Sclerotium during the cropping duration (90-100 days) and is not eco-friendly. Hence, as an alternative attempt has been made to give an eco-friendly strategy for the control of Sclerotium during this work. The objective of the current investigation was to isolate an efficient biocontrol agent, Pseudomonas

https://biosciencediscovery.com



spp, from rhizospheric soil of healthy plants such as Neem and evaluate its potential in controlling the soil-borne pathogen, Sclerotium rolfsii, causing stem rot of groundnut.

MATERIALS AND METHODS:

Fungal culture of Sclerotium rolfsii was obtained from the Department of Plant Pathology, Marathwada Agricultural University, Parbhani (Maharashtra), India. The fungal culture was maintained on Potato Dextrose Agar medium at 30°C.

Isolation of Pseudomonas spp. from rhizospheric soil:

Rhizospheric soil from different healthy plants such as soybean, neem, groundnut, tur etc. were collected in poly-ethylene bags and brought to the research laboratory. A 1 gm of soil sample was inoculated into 100 ml nutrient broth and kept for incubation at room temperature for 24 h.

For isolation of *Pseudomonas* spp, 1ml of this nutrient broth was transferred to selective enrichment media, Cetrimide broth and kept for incubation at room temperature for 24 h. From enriched Cetrimide broth, a loopful of culture was streaked on Cetrimide agar (Brown and Lowbury, 1965) and the plates were incubated at room temperature till colonies were observed (24 – 48 h). The isolated colonies developed were then purified on nutrient agar slants and used for screening against the phytopathogen for biocontrol ability. All the isolates were tentatively named during this research to avoid confusion.

Screening for Potential Biocontrol agents against phytopathogen:

All the isolates were screened for potential antagonistic activity against pathogenic fungi S. on King's B agar (Ran, et al., 2003) using dual culture technique (Rangeshwaran and Prasad, 2000). An agar disc (5 mm dia.) was cut from an actively growing (96 h) phytopathogen, S. rolfsii culture and placed on the surface of fresh King's B agar medium at the one side of the Petri plates. A loopful of actively growing bacterial isolates (each) was placed opposite to the fungal disc. Plates inoculated with phytopathogen and without bacteria were used as control. Each experiment was carried out in triplicates. Plates were incubated at room temperature for 7 days. Degree of antagonism was determined by measuring the radial growth of pathogen with bacterial culture and control and percentage inhibition calculated by the following equation (Riungu et al., 2008).

Colony diameter of Pathogen - Colony diameter of Pathogen

alone (Control) + Antagonist

Inhibition (%) = -

Colony diameter of Pathogen alone

Identification of Biocontrol agent:

An efficient biocontrol agent obtained from screening was identified according to Bergey's Manual of Systematic Bacteriology (1984) by using cultural, biochemical characteristics as well as 16s rRNA sequencing 16s rRNA sequencing of culture was carried out at Agharkar Research Institute (ARI) Pune, Maharashtra.

In vitro Characterization of biocontrol features:

To investigate the biocontrol mechanism, the efficient rhizospheric isolate was tested for siderophore assay.

Siderophore Analysis:

Inoculum Preparation

The inoculum of *Pseudomonas spp* was prepared in King's B medium and incubated at 28 °C on rotatory shaking incubator (120 rpm) for 18-20 h. Culture and Cultivation Siderophore production was studied using modified Succinate medium (Meyer and Abdullah, 1978) consisting following components (g/l) Succinic acid (4), K₂HPO₄ (6), KH₂PO₄ (3), (NH₄)₂ SO₄ (1), MgSO₄ (0.2), and pH (7.0). 0.1ml of inoculum were separately inoculated in 250 ml Erlenmeyer flask containing Succinate medium and then incubated on rotatory shaker incubator for 48 h at 28°C. A supernatant was harvested by centrifuging the culture at 10,000 rpm in cooling centrifuge at 4°C for 10 minute.

× 100

Siderophore Detection: Qualitative Detection of Siderophore

Qualitatively siderophore production was detected as per (Schwyn and Neilands, 1987) using Universal Chemical Assay (CAS).

htt	asel.	ibsd.	in
	57		
40	1		
 ord	inal	101	



ISSN:	2229-3469 (Print)
	v t

Here equal volume of supernatant and CAS reagent was mixed in a clean test tube and observed for color change as compare to control or reference. Quantitative Detection of Siderophore

Quantitatively siderophores in culture filtrate were detected as per (Payne, 1994) where 0.5 ml of cell free culture filtrate was mixed with 0.5 ml of CAS solution. A reference was prepared using, uninoculated succinate medium (used for siderophore production by *Pseudomonas*). Both the test and reference were read at 630 nm and % siderophore units (% decolorization) in the culture filtrate were calculated.

Where,

Ar = Absorbance of reference at 630 nm. As = Absorbance of test sample at 630 nm.

Detection of Siderophore type

Type of siderophore i.e. either Hydroxamate or Catecholate, was determined by Csaky assay (Csaky, 1948) for hydroxamate and Arnow's assay (Arnow, 1937) for Catecholate/Phenolates.

Csaky Assay for detection of Hydroxamate type siderophores

The siderophore solution or supernatant (1 ml) was hydrolyzed with 1 ml of (6 N) H₂SO₄ in boiling water bath for 6 h or at 130 °C for 30 min. The solution was then buffered by adding 3 ml Sodium acetate solution, further 1 ml of 0.5 ml Iodine solution was added. After 3-5 min. excess Iodine was destroyed with 1 ml Sodium arsenate solution. Finally 1 ml α -Naphthalamine solution was added, allowed to react for 20-30 min for development of color.

Arnow's Assay for Catecholate-type Siderophores

To 1 ml culture supernatant, 1 ml 0.5 M HCl was added and mixed properly, further 1 ml of Nitrite-Molybdate reagent (prepared by dissolving 10 gm Sodium nitrite and 10 g Sodium molybdate in 100 ml distilled water) and 1 ml 1 M NaOH was added. This mixture was allowed to react for 5 minutes for the reaction to fully occur. Absorbance was measured at 510 nm. Uninoculated media instead of supernatant used as blank. Catecholate groups can be detected because they form a yellow color in Nitrous acid, which turns pink-red when excess NaOH was present. A control (either a culture grown in high iron or uninoculated media) will remain colorless with the addition of reagents.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION:

Isolation of Pseudomonas spp. from rhizospheric soil:

During this research work, 11 Pseudomonas spp were isolated from rhizospheric soil of different healthy plants such as Soybean, Neem, Groundnut, Tur etc. All the rhizospheric isolates were tentatively named as in Table 1 and maintained on Nutrient Agar slants for further screening.

Screening for Potential Biocontrol agents against phytopathogen:

It was observed that *Pseudomonas* isolate SMD found as an efficient antagonist against *S. rolfsii* in dual culture technique (Photo Plate 1) while none of the other *Pseudomonas isolates* found efficient (Table 1).

It was revealed that culture SMD was able to inhibit Sclerotium rolfsii (94 %). Our results when compared with the results earlier reported (Kishoreet al., 2005), for control of Sclerotium rolfsii with Pseudomonas aeruginosa in dual culture. It was found that our results with Pseudomonas far better than the above-mentioned results because there was only 32-74 % inhibition recorded whereas in our results 94 % inhibition was recorded for S. rolfsii. Similar kind of results were also observed by Dalvi and Rakh, (2017), where in dual culture technique, the Pseudomonas cf. monteilii 9 inhibited the Sclerotium rolfsii (94 %), in terms of dry weight. Identification of Biocontrol agent:

The efficient SMD was identified by 16S rDNA gene sequencing. The sequence was edited

https://biosciencediscovery.com

and aligned with sequence in the public domain GenBank by BLAST Programm which showed 98 % similarity with accession number AJ249451. The biocontrol agents exert a protective effect on roots through antagonism towards phytopathogenic

In vitro Characterization of biocontrol features:

fungi. Two major mechanisms have been proposed to explain the suppressive and antagonistic effects of *Pseudomonads* in particular i.e. either the phytopathogen is inhibited by competition for iron, as availability of Fe⁺⁺⁺ in soil is low (10⁻¹⁷ M). OR secondly *Pseudomonas* inhibit the pathogens by producing secondary metabolites with antibiotic activity e.g. Phenazine, Pyrrolnitrin, Phenazines, 2,4diacetylphloroglucinol and cyanides (Winding *et al.*, 2004). Nevertheless, disease suppression is a multifunctional attributes, hence for understanding the mechanism of action shown by the strains under study variety of experiments were carried out during this research work.

To investigate the biocontrol mechanism of the selected strain namely, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* AL98 was tested for production of siderophore.

Siderophore Analysis:

Inoculum of pseudomonas culture was inoculated in modified Succinate medium (Meyer and Abdullah, 1978) and incubated on rotary shaking incubator at 28 °C for 48 h.

Siderophore Detection:

Qualitative Detection of Siderophore:

Pseudomonas aeruginosa AL98 gave instant color change of CAS reagent from blue to classical golden orange when tested qualitatively by Universal CAS assay which shows production of siderophore (Photo plate 2).

Quantitative Detection of Siderophore:

A supernatant of the culture was harvested after 12, 24, 36 and 48 h by centrifuging the culture at 10,000 rpm in cooling centrifuge. Quantitatively siderophores in supernatant was detected as per Payne, (1994) where 0.5 ml of culture filtrate was mixed with 0.5 ml of CAS solution. A reference was prepared using, uninoculated Succinate medium. Absorbance of both the test and reference were read at 630 nm and % siderophore units in the culture filtrate were calculated. Pseudomonas aeruginosa AL98 gave instant color change of CAS reagent from blue to classical golden orange and produced1. maximum siderophore whose absorbance was read at % siderophore unit of culture was 630 nm. calculated after 12, 24, 36 and 48 h (Graph 1).

In the time course of siderophore production, maximum siderophore secretions by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa AL98* (89.89%) was recorded after 36 h. Siderophore being a secondary metabolite produced under iron stress condition hence maximum siderophore was recorded 36 h. thereafter, reincubation showed decline in the % siderophore. Here for siderophore production the batch needs to be harvested after 36 h. for maximum recovery of siderophore.

Detection of Siderophore type

For determination of the type of iron chelating groups in siderophores of *Pseudomonas* aeruginosa AL98, the culture supernatant was subjected to Csaky's assay (Csaky, 1948) and Arnow's assay (Arnow, 1937).

Pseudomonas aeruginosa AL98 fails to produce instant wine-red color after addition of anaphthalamine indicating absence of hydroxymate type of siderophore (Photo plate 3). While in Arnow's assay, it gave yellow color after addition of sodium molybdate reagent indicating presence of catecholate type of group but turn red after addition of sodium hydroxide (Photo plate 4).


Siderophore produced by certain strains of fluorescent Pseudomonas spp. have been reported to link in suppression of soil-borne plant diseases (Bashan and de-Bashan, 2005). It has been suggested antagonistically by act siderophores that sequestering iron from the environment, restricting growth of the pathogen. Convincing evidence for the involvement of siderophores in disease suppression is readily available (Bashan and de-Bashan, 2005). For example, a mutant strain of P. putida that overproduces siderophores has been shown to be more effective than the wild bacterium in controlling the pathogenic fungus Fusarium oxysporium in tomato. Many wild strains that lose their siderophore' control activity. biological also lose trait Pseudomonas aeruginosa AL98 produced only catecholate type of siderophore which playing a vital role in biocontrol of S. rolfsii. Also, Dalvi and Rakh (2017) displayed that Pseudomonas cf. monteilii 9 produce siderophore which was responsible for suppression of Sclerotium rolfsil in vitro

REFERENCES

Abd-Allah EF, 2001. Streptomyces plicatus as a model biocontrol agent. Folia Microbiologica (Praha)., 46: 309-14.

Co-ordinator

and Earld 1597





Bioscience Discovery, 11(3):151-157, July - 2020




Photo Plate 1: Dual Culture technique of SMD against Sclerotium rolfsii A) Control of Sclerotium rolfsii B) SMD culture with Sclerotium rolfsii

Table 1: In vitro screening for potential biocontrol agents against Scierotium rolfsii

Strain	Sclerotium rolfsii
SMD	4
CA/RT	2
NM/S1/CA	0
NM/S2/CA	0
NM/S6/CA	0
NM/S8/CA	0
CA/RT	2
CA/RG	3
AVM 1	1
AVM 3	3
SBC-B	3

Each number is mean of three replicates. 0- none, 1= inhibition zone 1-25 %, 2= inhibition zone 26-50 %, 3= inhibition zone 51-75 %, 4= inhibition zone 76-100 %.




Photo Plate 2: Liquid CAS Assay for Siderophore Production A) Test B) Control

155


Eatd, 1033


https://biosciencediscovery.com






Dalvi and Rakh







Photoplate 3:- Csaky's Assay

Thomas-Oates

Arnow LE, 1937. Colorimetric determination of the

components of 3, 4 dihydroxyphenylalanine tyrosine

mixtures. J. of Biological Chemistry, 118:531-537.

Bashan Y and de-Bashan LE, 2005. Bacteria. In:

Encyclopedia of Soils in the Environment. Hillel D.

Brown VI and Lowbury JL, 1965. Use of an

improved cetrimide agar medium and other culture

methods for Pseudomonas aeruginosa. J. Clin.

Introduction of the phzH gene of Pseudomonas

TFC,

Lugtenberg BJJ, Bloemberg GV,

Path., 18: 752-756.

Chin-A-Woeng,

(ed.). Elsevier, Oxford, U.K. Vol. 1, pp. 103-115.

chlororaphis PCL1391 extends the range of biocontrol ability of phenazine-1-carboxylic acidproducing Pseudomonas spp. strains. Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions, 14: 1006-1015.

Csaky TZ, 1948. On the estimation of bound hydroxamate in biological materials. Acta Chemica Scandinavica, 2: 450-454.

Dalvi SM and Rakh RR, 2017. Siderophore Producing Pseudomonas cf. monteilii 9 for Assured Biological Control of Sclerotium rolfsii Causing Stem Rot of Groundnut. Bioscience Discovery, 8 (3): 546-555




Shorth S

JE,

2001.

ISSN: 2229-3469 (Print)






Photo Plate 1: Dual Culture technique of SMD against Sclerotium rolfsii A) Control of Sclerotium rolfsii B) SMD culture with Sclerotium rolfsii

Table 1: In vitro screening for potential biocontrol agents against .	Sclerotium rolfsii

Strain	Sclerotium rolfsii
SMD	4
CA/RT	2
NM/S1/CA	0
NM/S2/CA	0
NM/S6/CA	0
NM/S8/CA	0
CA/RT	2
CA/RG	3
AVM 1	1
AVM 3	3
SBC-B	3

Each number is mean of three replicates. 0- none, 1= inhibition zone 1-25 %, 2- inhibition zone 26-50 %, 3= inhibition zone 51-75 %, 4= inhibition zone 76-100 %.




Photo Plate 2: Liquid CAS Assay for Siderophore Production A) Test B) Control

155

Estd.1983



ISSN: 2231-024X (Online)



N.

Bioscience Discovery, 11(3):151-157, July - 2020

Kishore GK, Pande S and Podile AR, 2005. Biological control of collar rot disease with broadspectrum antifungal bacteria associated with groundnut. Can. J. Microbiol. 51(2):123-32.

Mark GL, Morrissey JP, Higgins P, O'Gara F, 2006. Molecular-based strategies to exploit *Pseudomonas* biocontrol strains for environmental biotechnology applications. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology*, 56: 167-77.

Meyer JM and Abdallah MA, 1978. The fluorescent pigment of *Pseudomonas fluorescens*: biosynthesis, purification and physico-chemical properties. J. Gen. Microbiol., 107:319-328.

Nair JR, Singh G, Sekar V, 2002. Isolation and characterization of a novel *Bacillus* strain from coffee phyllosphere showing antifungal activity. J. of Applied Microbiology, 93: 772-780.

Payne SM, 1994. Detection, isolation and characterization of siderophores. In, *Methods in Enzymology*. 235:329-344.

Raaijmaker JM, Vlami M and de Souza JT, 2002. Antibiotic production by bacterial biocontrol agents. Antonie van Leeuwenhoek. 81: 537–547.

Ran LX, Xiang ML, Van Loon LC and Bakker PAHM, 2003. Siderophore-mediated suppression of Grey Mould in *Eucalyptus urophylla* by Fluorescent *Pseudomonas spp.*6th International PGPR Workshop, 5-10 October 2003, Calcutta, India. 558-562. Rangeshwaran R and Prasad RD, 2000. Biological control of *Sclerotium* rot of sunflower. *Indian Phytopath*. 53: 444-449.

Ray S, Datta R, Bhadra P, Chaudhuri B and Mitra A K, 2012. From space to earth: *Bacillus aryabhattai* found in the Indian sub-continent. *Bioscience Discovery*, 3 (1): 138-145.

Riungu GM, JW Muthorni, RD Narla, JM Wagacha and JK Gathumbi, 2008. Management of *Fusarium* Head Blight of Wheat and Deoxynivalenol Accumulation using Antagonistic Microorganisms. *Plant Pathology Journal*, 7(1): 13-19.

Schwyn B and Neilands JB, 1987. Universal Chemical Assay for detection and determination of Siderophores. Analytical Biochemistry, 160: 47-56.

Shadi Selseleh-zakeri, Abbas Akhavan-sepahy, Anita Khanafari, Sara Saadat-mand, 2015. Investigation of native Bacillus strains antifungal activity against some native species of Fusarium fungi. Bioscience Discovery, 6(2):59-69.

Tu JC, 1978. Production of Soybean from severe root rot by *Rhizobium*. *Physiol. Plant Pathol.* 12:233-240.

Winding A, Binnerup SJ, Pritchard H, 2004. Nontarget effects of bacterial biological control agents suppressing root pathogenic fungi. FEMS Microbiology Ecology, 47(2):129-141.

Wydra K, 1996. Collection and determination of root and stem rot pathogens. Annuals Report IITA, Ibadan. Nigeria, p. 68.

How to cite this article

Sanjay M. Dalvi and Ravindra R. Rakh, 2020. In Vitro Antagonism of Pseudomonas aeruginosa Al98 Towards Scierotium rolfsii, a Causative Agent of Stem Rot Disease of Groundnut. Bioscience Discovery, 11(3):151-157.

Google Scholar citation: https://scholar.google.co.in/citations?user=vPzEvC8AAAAJ&hl=en

https://biosciencediscovery.com

dinator



